

Some Classes of Solutions to the Toda Lattice Hierarchy

Harold Widom

*Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA
e-mail address: widom@math.ucsc.edu*

Abstract

We apply an analogue of the Zakharov-Shabat dressing method to obtain infinite matrix solutions to the Toda lattice hierarchy. Using an operator transformation we convert some of these into solutions in terms of integral operators and Fredholm determinants. Others are converted into a class of operator solutions to the l -periodic Toda hierarchy.

0. Introduction

We begin by recalling some terminology: The shift matrix $(\delta_{i+1,j})$ is denoted by Λ . (All matrices are doubly-infinite unless otherwise stated.) Any matrix A has a representation as a formal sum $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i \Lambda^i$ where the a_i are diagonal matrices. Two of these may be multiplied if for both matrices the indices corresponding to nonzero components are bounded above (or below), or if for one of the matrices these indices are bounded above and below. If A is triangular then it is invertible if and only if each diagonal entry of a_0 is nonzero. The upper-triangular and strictly lower-triangular projections of A are defined by

$$A_+ = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \Lambda^i, \quad A_- = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{-1} a_i \Lambda^i.$$

A solution to the Toda lattice (TL) hierarchy [11] is a family of matrices of the form

$$B_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_{i,n} \Lambda^i + \Lambda^n, \quad C_n = \sum_{i=-n}^{-1} c_{i,n} \Lambda^i \quad (0.1)$$

which satisfy the 2-dimensional TL equations

$$\partial_{x_n} B_m - \partial_{x_m} B_n + [B_m, B_n] = 0,$$

$$\partial_{y_n} C_m - \partial_{y_m} C_n + [C_m, C_n] = 0,$$

$$\partial_{y_n} B_m - \partial_{x_m} C_n + [B_m, C_n] = 0.$$

In the first section we apply a discrete version of the dressing method of Zakharov and Shabat [12] (see also the nice exposition in [8]) to obtain infinite matrix solutions to the TL hierarchy. By this we mean that the entries of the B_n and C_n are themselves expressed in terms of infinite matrices, analogous to the operators whose Fredholm determinants give solutions to the KP hierarchy.

Matrix solutions to the semi-infinite TL hierarchy were obtained in [7] and [1]. The methods here and in these references are different although the latter also begins with the factorization of a type of moment matrix.

In the following section we obtain operator solutions to the TL hierarchy, in which the entries of B_n and C_n are expressible in terms of integral operators and the diagonal entries of the B_n are given in terms of Fredholm determinants. These are obtained from the matrix solutions by applying the fact that the inverses of the operators $I - AB$ and $I - BA$ may be expressed in terms of each other, and that they generally have the same determinant. The simplest integral operators which arise have kernel

$$\frac{e^{\sum (x_n - y_n)(u^n - u^{-n} + v^n - v^{-n})/2}}{1 - uv}$$

and act on the space $L_2(\sigma)$ where σ is a measure supported in the unit disc of the complex plane. When σ equals a function $p(u)^2$ times Lebesgue measure we obtain an equivalent operator which acts on L_2 of Lebesgue measure when the kernel given above is multiplied by $p(u)p(v)$. If we set $t = x_1 - y_1$ (ignoring the other parameters) these operators give Fredholm determinant solutions to the Toda equations

$$\frac{d^2 q_k}{dt^2} = e^{q_{k-1} - q_k} - e^{q_k - q_{k+1}} \quad (k \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

When σ is a discrete measure supported on N points the Fredholm determinants are finite determinants and these give the familiar N -soliton solutions.

In the next section we use the same device to obtain another class of operator solutions which includes solutions to the l -periodic Toda hierarchy. The simplest operators here have kernel

$$\frac{e^{\sum [x_n(1 - \omega^{-n})(u^n + v^n) + y_n(1 - \omega^n)(u^{-n} + v^{-n})]/2}}{u - \omega v},$$

where ω is an l th root of unity, and act on $L_2(\sigma)$ where now σ is a measure on $\mathbf{R}^+$. Again there is the special case where the operator acts on the usual $L_2(\mathbf{R}^+)$ space and the kernel is multiplied by $p(u)p(v)$. When σ is a discrete measure supported on finitely many points the solutions are again expressed in terms of finite determinants. A related class of kernels gives solutions to the cylindrical Toda equations

$$\frac{d^2 q_k}{dt^2} + t^{-1} \frac{dq_k}{dt} = e^{q_k - q_{k-1}} - e^{q_{k+1} - q_k} \quad (k \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Integral operator solutions to some analogous equations, or special cases, have also appeared in the literature, for example [2, 5, 6, 10]. The methods here are quite different. We mention that in [10] it was shown that the Fredholm determinants of the case $l = 2$ of the last kernels gave solutions of the mKdV/sinh-Gordon hierarchies. In [4] it was observed that those Fredholm determinant solutions were limits of N -soliton solutions. They are both special cases of the more general situation where the operator acts on an $L_2(\sigma)$ space.

We shall see that it is easy *formally* to derive the solutions to the TL hierarchy. But some justification is required, and the case of the periodic TL hierarchy is a little tricky.

I. Matrix solutions to the Toda hierarchy

In our discrete version of the dressing method we begin with a doubly-infinite matrix $F(i, j)$ for which there is a factorization $(I - F) = K_+^{-1}K_-$ with K_+ upper-triangular and K_- of the form $I +$ strictly lower-triangular. More precisely, we require the relations

$$K_+(I - F) = K_-, \quad (I - F)K_-^{-1} = K_+^{-1}. \quad (1.1)$$

(There is a difference, since not all matrix products are defined.) To give conditions assuring the existence of such matrices $K_\pm$ we denote by F_k the infinite matrix $F(i, j)$ with $i, j \geq k$ and denote by $\mathbf{Z}_k$ the set of integers $\geq k$.

Lemma. Assume that F_k represents a bounded operator on $l_2(\mathbf{Z}_k)$ for each k and that each $I - F_k$ is invertible. Then there are triangular matrices $K_\pm$ of the form described such that all rows of K_+ and all columns of K_-^{-1} belong to $l_2(\mathbf{Z})$ and such that the relations (1.1) hold.

Proof. We shall see what the matrices $K_\pm$ should be so that (1.1) holds, the actual verification then being a simple matter.

If K_+ is upper-triangular then $K_+(I - F)$ is of the form $I +$ strictly lower-triangular precisely when

$$K_+(k, j) - \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} K_+(k, i)F(i, j) = \delta_{j,k} \quad (j \geq k).$$

So we define K_+ to be the upper-triangular matrix with entries

$$K_+(k, j) = (I - F_k)^{-1}(k, j) \quad (j \geq k). \quad (1.2)$$

Note that each row of K_+ belongs to $l_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Note also that $K_+(k, k)$, the upper left-hand corner of $(I - F_k)^{-1}$, must be nonzero since the corresponding minor, the matrix $I - F_{k+1}$, is invertible.

Since $(I - F)K_-^{-1}$ should be upper triangular with k, k entry $K_+(k, k)^{-1}$ the entries of K_-^{-1} must satisfy

$$K_-^{-1}(i, k) - \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} F(i, j)K_-^{-1}(j, k) = K_+(k, k)^{-1} \delta_{i,k} \quad (i \geq k).$$

So we define K_-^{-1} to be the lower-triangular matrix with entries

$$K_-^{-1}(i, k) = K_+(k, k)^{-1} \times i\text{th component of } (I - F_k)^{-1} \varepsilon_k \quad (i \geq k),$$

where ε_k is the vector $(\delta_{i,k})$ of $\mathbf{Z}_k$. Note that each column of K_-^{-1} belongs to $l_2(\mathbf{Z})$.

The matrices K_+ and K_-^{-1} defined above have the right form and their rows and columns, respectively, belong to $l_2(\mathbf{Z})$. Since each F_k represents a bounded operator on $l_2(\mathbf{Z}_k)$ the two matrix products $[K_+(I - F)]K_-^{-1}$ and $K_+[(I - F)K_-^{-1}]$ are well-defined and equal. It follows from our definitions that the first product is of the form $I + \text{strictly lower-triangular}$ while the second is of the form $I + \text{strictly upper-triangular}$. Hence both products equal I , and this is equivalent to the two relations (1.1).

Theorem 1. Suppose, in addition to the hypotheses of the lemma, that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} = F(i + n, j) - F(i, j - n), \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial y_n} = F(i - n, j) - F(i, j + n). \quad (1.3)$$

Then the matrices B_n and C_n defined by

$$\partial_{x_n} - B_n = K_+(\partial_{x_n} - \Lambda^n)K_+^{-1}, \quad \partial_{y_n} - C_n = K_+(\partial_{y_n} - \Lambda^{-n})K_+^{-1} \quad (1.4)$$

are solutions to the TL hierarchy. Moreover, if we define

$$L = K_- \Lambda K_-^{-1}, \quad M = K_+ \Lambda^{-1} K_+^{-1},$$

then

$$B_n = (L^n)_+, \quad C_n = (M^n)_-. \quad (1.5)$$

Proof. The identities (1.3) are equivalent to the statement that F commutes with the operators $\partial_{x_n} - \Lambda^n$ and $\partial_{y_n} - \Lambda^{-n}$. This commutativity implies that we may replace K_+ everywhere in (1.4) by K_- . The reason is that

$$\begin{aligned} K_- (\partial_{x_n} - \Lambda^n) K_-^{-1} &= K_+ (I - F) (\partial_{x_n} - \Lambda^n) K_-^{-1} = K_+ (\partial_{x_n} - \Lambda^n) (I - F) K_-^{-1} \\ &= K_+ (\partial_{x_n} - \Lambda^n) K_+^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for the definition of C_n given by the second part of (1.4). (These matrix manipulations are justified using the facts that the rows of K_+ , the columns of K_-^{-1} ,

and their derivatives all belong to $l_2(\mathbf{Z})$, and that F_k and its derivatives represent bounded operators on $l_2(\mathbf{Z}_k)$.) Thus we have the second pair of relations

$$\partial_{x_n} - B_n = K_-(\partial_{x_n} - \Lambda^n)K_-^{-1}, \quad \partial_{y_n} - C_n = K_-(\partial_{y_n} - \Lambda^{-n})K_-^{-1}. \quad (1.6)$$

The second statement of (1.5) is obvious once we recognize that the definition of C_n may be rewritten

$$C_n = \frac{\partial K_+}{\partial y_n} K_+^{-1} + M^n.$$

Similarly the first statement follows from the identity

$$B_n = \frac{\partial K_-}{\partial x_n} K_-^{-1} + L^n,$$

which is equivalent to the first identity of (1.6). To see that the TL equations are satisfied we observe that the operators $\partial_{x_n} - B_n$ and $\partial_{y_m} - C_m$ all commute since by (1.4) they are simultaneously similar to the commuting operators $\partial_{x_n} - \Lambda^n$ and $\partial_{y_m} - \Lambda^{-m}$. The commutativity of the $\partial_{x_n} - B_n$ and $\partial_{y_m} - C_m$ is equivalent to the TL equations.

Remark 1. The definition of B_n in (1.4) may be rewritten as

$$B_n K_+ = \frac{\partial K_+}{\partial x_n} + K_+ \Lambda^n.$$

Since the last term is strictly upper-triangular, we have for the diagonal entries $B_n(k, k) K_+(k, k) = \partial_{x_n} K_+(k, k)$, or

$$B_n(k, k) = \partial_{x_n} \log K_+(k, k).$$

If each F_k represents a trace class operator then Cramer's rule gives the nice formula

$$K_+(k, k) = \det(I - F_{k+1}) / \det(I - F_k). \quad (1.7)$$

Remark 2. It is familiar how the two-dimensional Toda equations

$$\frac{\partial^2 q_k}{\partial x \partial y} = e^{q_k - q_{k-1}} - e^{q_{k+1} - q_k} \quad (1.8)$$

lead to one of the equations of the TL hierarchy,

$$\frac{\partial B_1}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} + [B_1, C_1] = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Here we write x, y for x_1, y_1 respectively. (See, for example, the introduction to [11].) In fact we can easily see directly that

$$q_k(x, y) = \log K_+(k, k) \quad (1.10)$$

solves (1.8). From the second relation of (1.4) or (1.5) follows the formula

$$C_1(k+1, k) = K_+(k+1, k+1)/K_+(k, k) = e^{q_{k+1}-q_k},$$

and so taking the k, k entry of (1.9) gives

$$\frac{\partial B_1(k, k)}{\partial y} = C_1(k, k-1) - C_1(k+1, k) = e^{q_k-q_{k-1}} - e^{q_{k+1}-q_k}.$$

Since $B_1(k, k) = \partial q_k / \partial x$ we obtain (1.8).

It remains to write down a class of matrices F satisfying the conditions of the theorem. If μ is a measure on $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$ then

$$F(i, j) = \int \int u^i v^j e^{\sum [x_n(u^n - v^{-n}) + y_n(u^{-n} - v^n)]} d\mu(u, v) \quad (1.11)$$

satisfies (1.3) as long as the integrands belong to $L_1(\mu)$ for some range of the parameters x_n and y_n . Boundedness of the operators F_k on $l_2(\mathbf{Z}_k)$ requires that the support of μ be contained in the product $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}$ of the closed unit discs in $\mathbf{C}$. If the support is contained in the product of the open subdiscs then $F(i, j)$ tends rapidly to 0 as i or j tends to $+\infty$ and so each F_k is trace class then. We shall not concern ourselves here with precise necessary or sufficient conditions.

II. Operator solutions to the Toda hierarchy

In this section and the next we obtain operator solutions to the TL hierarchy from the matrix solutions above by using two facts about operators. The first, which is very easy, is that if A and B are operators such that $I - AB$ is invertible then so is $I - BA$ and

$$(I - AB)^{-1} = I + A(I - BA)^{-1}B. \quad (2.1)$$

(This is obvious if the inverses are given by the Neumann series.) The second, which is not as easy, is that if A and B are both Hilbert-Schmidt operators, or one operator is trace class and the other merely bounded, then

$$\det(I - AB) = \det(I - BA). \quad (2.2)$$

(See, for example, [3], sec. IV.1.) It is important that A and B are not required to act on the same Hilbert space; A may take a space H_1 to a space H_2 and B take H_2 to H_1 , so that AB acts on H_2 and BA acts on H_1 .

When we say that a particular matrix K_+ “gives a solution to the TL hierarchy” we shall mean that the matrices B_n and C_n defined in terms of K_+ by (1.4) are of the form (0.1) and satisfy the TL equations. We shall always assume that only finitely many of the parameters x_n, y_n occur and that the range of these parameters is such that the exponentials which appear, such as in (1.11), are bounded in the support of the corresponding measure. Throughout, we shall use the notation

$$E(u, v) := e^{\sum [x_n(u^n - v^{-n}) + y_n(u^{-n} - v^n)]/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

The simplest special case, where the measure μ in (1.11) is supported on the set $u = v$, leads to our first family of operator solutions to the TL hierarchy. We define

$$E_k(u) := u^k E(u, u) = u^k e^{\sum (x_n - y_n)(u^n - u^{-n})/2}. \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 2. Let σ be a measure on the unit disc $\mathbf{D}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|E_k(u)|^2}{1 - |u|} d\sigma(u) < \infty.$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then G_k , the integral operator on $L_2(\sigma)$ with kernel

$$G_k(u, v) = \frac{E_k(u) E_k(v)}{1 - uv}, \quad (2.5)$$

is trace class, and if 1 is not an eigenvalue of any G_k then

$$K_+(k, j) = \delta_{k,j} + \left((I - G_k)^{-1} E_j, E_k \right) \quad (2.6)$$

gives a solution of the TL hierarchy. (The parentheses in the displayed formula denote the inner product in $L_2(\sigma)$.) The diagonal entries of K_+ are also given by

$$K_+(k, k) = \det(I - G_{k+1}) / \det(I - G_k). \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. We take the special case of (1.11) where μ is supported on the set $v = u$ and is given by $d\mu(u, v) = \delta(u - v) d\sigma(u)$. Then (1.11) becomes

$$F(i, j) = \int u^{i+j} E(u, u)^2 d\sigma(u)$$

and F_k may be written as the product AB where A is the operator from $L_2(\sigma)$ to $l_2(\mathbf{Z}_k)$ with “kernel” $A(i, u) = E_i(u)$ and B is the operator from $l_2(\mathbf{Z}_k)$ to $L_2(\sigma)$ with kernel $B(u, i)$ given by exactly the same formula. They are both Hilbert-Schmidt,

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} |A(i, u)|^2 d\sigma(u) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} |B(u, i)|^2 d\sigma(u) = \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{|E_k(u)|^2}{1 - |u|^2} d\sigma(u) < \infty,$$

and BA is precisely the operator G_k . Since $F_k = AB$ is trace class we may apply Theorem 1 to conclude that the matrix K_+ defined by (1.2) gives a solution to the TL hierarchy and (1.7) holds. Applying (2.1) in our situation gives (2.6) and applying (2.2) gives (2.7).

Remark 1. If $d\sigma(u) = p(u)^2 du$ on the interval $(0, 1) \subset \mathbf{R}$ and

$$\int_0^1 \frac{|E_k(u)|^2 p(u)^2}{1-u} du < \infty$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ then the conclusion of the theorem holds if the quantities $E_k(u)$ are replaced by $E_k(u) p(u)$ and the operators act on $L_2(0, 1)$. This follows by using the unitary equivalence between $L_2(\sigma)$ and $L_2(0, 1)$ given by $f \leftrightarrow pf$. At the other extreme, if σ consists of N masses p_i^2 at points u_i then G_k becomes an $N \times N$ matrix, the Fredholm determinants become finite determinants and we obtain exponential solutions through the formula (recall (2.4))

$$\det(I - G_k) = \det \left(\delta_{i,j} - p_i p_j \frac{(u_i u_j)^k}{1 - u_i u_j} E_0(u_i) E_0(u_j) \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}. \quad (2.8)$$

Remark 2. If we think of $x_n - y_n$ as a new variable t_n then we obtain solutions of the 1-dimensional Toda hierarchy

$$\partial_{t_n}(B_n + C_n) = [B_n, C_n].$$

Writing $t = t_1$, $x = x_1$, $y = y_1$ (ignoring the other parameters), keeping in mind that $\partial^2/\partial x \partial y = -d^2/dt^2$ and substituting into (1.8) we find that

$$q_k(t) = \log K_+(-k, -k)$$

solves the one-dimensional Toda equations

$$\frac{d^2 q_k}{dt^2} = e^{q_{k-1} - q_k} - e^{q_k - q_{k+1}}.$$

In the case of a finite discrete measure we have (2.8), which becomes

$$\det(I - G_k) = \det \left(\delta_{i,j} - p_i p_j \frac{(u_i u_j)^k}{1 - u_i u_j} e^{t(u_i - u_i^{-1} + u_j - u_j^{-1})/2} \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}.$$

This gives the N -soliton solutions of Toda the equations. (See [9], sec. 3.6.)

Remark 3. The kernels (2.5) and those described in Remark 1 admit significant generalizations. They are obtained by thinking of $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$ as the union of complex

lines $v = \omega u$ ($\omega \in \mathbf{C}$) and taking $d\mu(u, v) = p(\omega, u)^2 d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u)$, so that (1.11) becomes (recall (2.3))

$$F(i, j) = \int \int u^{i+j} \omega^j p(\omega, u)^2 E(u, \omega u)^2 d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u).$$

In the kernels above ρ was a unit mass at $\omega = 1$. Now F_k may be written as the product AB where A and B are the operators from $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ to $l_2(\mathbf{Z}_k)$ and from $l_2(\mathbf{Z}_k)$ to $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$, respectively, with kernels

$$A(i; \omega, u) = u^i p(\omega, u) E(u, \omega u), \quad B(\omega, u; i) = (\omega u)^i p(\omega, u) E(u, \omega u).$$

Appropriate assumptions on the measures $d\rho(\omega)$ and $d\sigma(u)$ and the function $p(\omega, u)$ guarantee that these are Hilbert-Schmidt operators between $l_2(\mathbf{Z}_k)$ and $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$. The operator $G_k = BA$ on $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ has kernel

$$G_k(\omega, u; \omega', v) = \frac{(\omega u v)^k}{1 - \omega u v} p(\omega, u) p(\omega', v) E(u, \omega u) E(v, \omega' v). \quad (2.9)$$

If we now define

$$E_k(\omega, u) := u^k E(u, \omega u)$$

then we obtain a solution of the TL hierarchy in which (2.6) is replaced by

$$K_+(k, j) = \delta_{k,j} + \left((I - G_k)^{-1} \omega^j p E_j, p E_k \right).$$

The inner product is now taken in $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$. Of course (2.7) remains the same.

If the measure ρ consists of a finite set Ω of unit point masses then we may think of G_k as acting on vector-valued $L_2(\sigma)$, the components being indexed by $\omega \in \Omega$. The right side of (2.9) gives the ω, ω' entry of the matrix kernel of G_k .

Actually, the kernel of G_k can always be transformed to an equivalent scalar kernel by another $AB \rightarrow BA$ operation. The details of this will be given for another class of kernels at the end of the next section.

III. More operator solutions to the Toda hierarchy

The kernels described in the last remark were given not so much for their inherent interest but because our solutions to the periodic TL hierarchy and the cylindrical Toda equations arise in a similar way. Now we think of $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$ as the union of complex hyperbolas $v = \omega/u$. This time we take $d\mu(u, v) = u^{-1} p(\omega, u)^2 d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u)$ (the reason for the factor u^{-1} in the measure will soon become apparent) so that (1.11) becomes

$$F(i, j) = \int \int u^{i-j-1} \omega^j p(\omega, u)^2 E(u, \omega/u)^2 d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u).$$

In this case for the representation of F_k we define

$$A_k(i; \omega, u) = u^{i-k} p(\omega, u) E(u, \omega/u), \quad B_k(\omega, u; i) = \omega^i u^{k-i-1} p(\omega, u) E(u, \omega/u).$$

The situation is more awkward now, and we begin with the assumption that $d\sigma(u)$ and $d\rho(\omega)$ are finite measures supported on annuli

$$r_1 \leq |u| \leq r_2 < 1, \quad 0 < s_1 \leq |\omega| \leq s_2$$

where $s_2 < r_1$. We call this “the annulus condition”. We also assume that the function $p(\omega, u) E(u, \omega u)$ belongs to $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$. It is easy to see that both operators A_k and B_k are then Hilbert-Schmidt, $F_k = A_k B_k$ and the operator $G_k = B_k A_k$ on $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ has kernel

$$G_k(\omega, u; \omega', v) = \frac{\omega^k}{u - \omega v} p(\omega, u) E(u, \omega/u) p(\omega', v) E(v, \omega'/v). \quad (3.1)$$

If we define now

$$E_k(\omega, u) := u^k E(u, \omega/u)$$

then the solution to the TL hierarchy is given by the formula

$$K_+(k, j) = \delta_{k,j} + \left((I - G_k)^{-1} \omega^j p E_{k-j-1}, p E_0 \right), \quad (3.2)$$

and (2.7) holds as usual.

The very restrictive condition imposed on $d\sigma(u)$ and $d\rho(\omega)$ makes this not very interesting. But the formulas make sense much more generally and we might expect them to give solutions whenever the operators G_k with kernel defined by (3.1) are trace class and the $I - G_k$ are invertible. Although we cannot prove such a general result we can prove enough for our purposes. We denote the supports of the finite measures $d\sigma(u)$ and $d\rho(\omega)$ by U and Ω respectively and use the familiar notation

$$UU^{-1} := \{uv^{-1} : u, v \in U\}.$$

The annulus condition implies that UU^{-1} is also contained in an annulus and that Ω is inside the inner boundary of this annulus. In particular Ω can be shrunk down to 0 without in the process intersecting UU^{-1} . As we shall now show, a quasi-topological condition like this on U and Ω is all that we need. Note that just the assumption $\Omega \cap UU^{-1} = \emptyset$ implies that G_k has C^∞ kernel and so is trace class.

Theorem 3. Assume that $pE_0 \in L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ and that the supports U and Ω are compact subsets of $\mathbf{C} \setminus 0$ with the property that there is a path from 0 to 1 in $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\beta\Omega \cap UU^{-1} = \emptyset$ for all β in the path. Assume also that each $I - G_k$ is invertible. Then (3.2) gives a solution of the TL hierarchy and (2.7) holds.

Remark. For the proof it will be convenient to widen the meaning of the phrase “ K_+ gives a solution to the TL hierarchy” to the case where not all entries of K_+ are defined. The TL hierarchy consists of an infinite number of scalar equations each of which depends on only finitely many entries of the matrices B_n and C_n , and these in turn are determined from (1.4) using only finitely many entries of K_+ . If K_+ is only a partially defined matrix then our phrase will mean that all those scalar equations of the TL hierarchy which make sense are satisfied. This will be the case if in the statement of the theorem not all $I - G_k$ are invertible. This occurs for those values of the parameters x_n and y_n for which $\det(I - G_k) = 0$ and at these values certain entries of the solution matrices become singular. Even if all $I - G_k$ are invertible this concept, in proving the theorem, will allow us to deal with finitely many k at a time rather than all k simultaneously.

Proof. For nonzero complex numbers α and β let σ_α and ρ_β be the measures on αU and $\beta \Omega$ defined by

$$\sigma_\alpha(V) = \sigma(\alpha^{-1}V), \quad \rho_\beta(W) = \rho(\beta^{-1}W).$$

If we first choose α small enough and then choose β small enough these measures will satisfy the annulus condition. Thus if we replace σ by σ_α , ρ by ρ_β and $p(\omega, u)$ by $p(\beta^{-1}\omega, \alpha^{-1}u)$ then the corresponding operators give a solution to the TL hierarchy by the corresponding formula (3.2), and (2.7) holds. If 1 is an eigenvalue of some of these operators then we obtain a partial solution, as described in the remark. These operators act on $L_2(\rho_\beta \times \sigma_\alpha)$ but by means of the variable changes $\omega \rightarrow \beta\omega, u \rightarrow \alpha u$ we obtain operators $G_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ on $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ which give a solution by the corresponding formulas (3.2). Their kernels are

$$\frac{\alpha^{-1} \omega^k}{u - \beta \omega v} p(\omega, u) E(\alpha u, \beta \omega / \alpha u) p(\omega', v) E(\alpha v, \beta \omega' / \alpha v).$$

These will give a solution to the TL hierarchy if α lies in some annulus $r_1 < |\alpha| < r_2$ and β in some punctured disc $0 < |\beta| < s$.

Consider a finite set $k_1, \dots, k_n$ of k for each of which $I - G_k$ is invertible for particular values of the parameters x_n and y_n . We are going to show that the TL equations which are defined using the formula (3.2) for these k are correct for these values of the parameters. Choose any β with $0 < |\beta| < s$. A glance at the form of its kernel shows that the operator $G_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is well-defined for all $\alpha \in \mathbf{C} \setminus 0$ and is analytic in α . The set of α such that $I - G_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is not invertible for one of our k is the union of the set of zeros of the functions (of α) $\det(I - G_k^{(\alpha, \beta)})$. This is a discrete subset of $\mathbf{C} \setminus 0$ varying continuously with the parameters. It follows that there is a path running from a point in $r_1 < |\alpha| < r_2$ to $\alpha = 1$ such that everywhere on a neighborhood of this path, and on neighborhoods of our given parameter values, all operators $I - G_k^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ are

invertible. The matrix entries which arise in those TL equations which are defined using only $k_1, \dots, k_n$ are analytic functions of α and since these equations are satisfied for $r_1 < |\alpha| < r_2$ they must persist by analytic continuation to a neighborhood of $\alpha = 1$. In other words, the operators $G_k^{(1,\beta)}$ give a partial solution to the TL hierarchy for $k = k_1, \dots, k_n$ whenever $|\beta| < s$.

Now we can apply the same argument to β . Our hypothesis implies that there is a path running from a point in $0 < |\beta| < s$ to $\beta = 1$ on a neighborhood of which $G_k^{(1,\beta)}$ is an analytic family of trace class operators: the denominator in the formula for the kernel will remain nonzero. By deforming the path slightly if necessary we can assure that for β on the path all operators $I - G_k^{(1,\beta)}$ are invertible. Analytic continuation as before now shows that the $G_k^{(1,1)}$, in other words our given operators G_k , give a solution to the TL hierarchy.

A limiting argument applied to a special case of the above will give a class of solutions to the TL hierarchy which include periodic ones. The measure σ will now be supported on $\mathbf{R}^+$, the nonnegative real numbers in $\mathbf{C}$. Thus we shall have a case where the support of σ is neither compact nor contained in $\mathbf{C} \setminus 0$. This is the reason a limiting argument is necessary.

Theorem 4. Assume Ω is a compact subset of $\mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}^+$, the measure σ is supported on $\mathbf{R}^+$ and $pE_i \in L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ for all $i \leq 0$. Then (3.2) gives a solution of the TL hierarchy and (2.7) holds.

Remark. Our basic assumption of boundedness of $E(u, \omega/u)$ will imply that it vanishes exponentially at $u = 0$ and $u = \infty$. It follows that the function $p(\omega, u)$ can be very general.

Proof. For $r > 1$ let P_r denote multiplication by the characteristic function of the interval $[r^{-1}, r]$ and define $G_k^{(r)} := P_r G_k P_r$. These may be thought of alternatively as the operators G_k which arise when σ is replaced by its restriction to $[r^{-1}, r]$. The assumption of Theorem 3 is satisfied for this measure: we can take our path from $\beta = 0$ to $\beta = 1$ to be the line segment. Thus for each r the corresponding operators $G_k^{(r)}$ and formulas (3.2) give solutions (or partial solutions) to the TL hierarchy, and (2.7) holds.

We shall show that the trace norm of the operator $G_k - G_k^{(r)}$ tends to 0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$. We write $G_k - G_k^{(r)} = (I - P_r) G_k + P_r G_k (I - P_r)$ and apply Lemma 1 of the appendix to each of the operators on the right. Denote by $\chi_r(u)$ the characteristic function of the u -interval $[r^{-1}, r]$, and recall (3.1). In the first application of the lemma $q_1 = (1 - \chi_r) \omega^k pE_0$, $q_2 = pE_0$ and in the second application $q_1 = \chi_r \omega^k pE_0$, $q_2 = (1 - \chi_r) pE_0$. In both cases one of the integrals in the statement of the lemma will tend to 0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that all we need here is that $pE_{-1/2} \in L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$.

All functions which appear in the inner products in (3.2) are of the form $\omega^j p E_i$ for $i \leq 0$, and these belong to $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ by our main assumption. It follows that the functions of the parameters x_n and y_n which appear the TL equations obtained from the G_k using (3.2) are the limits of the corresponding functions obtained from the $G_k^{(r)}$. The same is true of the derivatives of these functions. (This is automatic because the functions are locally bounded and analytic in the parameters.) Since the equations are satisfied for each r the equations must be satisfied by the limiting functions. Trace norm convergence of the operators is needed to deduce (2.7): we know that it holds for the solution corresponding to $G_k^{(r)}$ and so

$$K_+(k, k) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\det(I - G_{k+1}^{(r)})}{\det(I - G_k^{(r)})} = \frac{\det(I - G_{k+1})}{\det(I - G_k)}.$$

The convergence of the determinants requires trace norm convergence of the operators.

We are now going to transform (3.1) into a kernel on $L_2(\sigma)$ by another $AB \rightarrow BA$ operation. (We think of this as a scalar-valued kernel on $L_2(\sigma)$ whereas (3.1) could be thought of as an $L_2(\rho)$ operator-valued kernel or, in case Ω is finite, a matrix-valued kernel.) Now B will be the operator from $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ to $L_2(\sigma)$ which is multiplication by $p E_0$ followed by integration with respect to $d\rho$ over Ω . If $p E_0$ is bounded then this will be a bounded operator. The operator A from $L_2(\sigma)$ to $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ has kernel $\omega^k p(\omega, u) E(u, \omega/u) / (u - \omega v)$. (In other words one takes a function $g(v)$, multiplies by this kernel, and then integrates with respect to $d\sigma(v)$.) The operator $\tilde{G}_k = BA$ on $L_2(\sigma)$ has kernel

$$\tilde{G}_k(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \omega^k \frac{p(\omega, u)^2 E(u, \omega/u)^2}{u - \omega v} d\rho(\omega). \quad (3.3)$$

In order to apply (2.1) we have to know that A is also bounded, and in order to apply (2.2) we have to know that it is even trace class, since B is surely not Hilbert-Schmidt. Fortunately, we have Lemma 2 of the appendix, and so we can immediately give a variant of Theorem 4 for this scalar kernel. The condition imposed on σ is a little awkward but it is satisfied by Lebesgue measure, the most interesting case. We shall not write down the analogue of (3.2) here since it may be obtained from (3.2) itself by applying (2.1).

Theorem 4'. In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 4, assume that $p E_0$ is bounded and that for some $\delta \in (0, 2)$

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{d\sigma(u)}{u^{2-\delta} + 1} < \infty$$

and $u^{-1-\delta/2} p^2 E_0^2 \in L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$. Then the operators $\tilde{G}_k$ give a solution of the TL hierarchy if all $I - \tilde{G}_k$ are invertible, and we have

$$K_+(k, k) = \det(I - \tilde{G}_{k+1}) / \det(I - \tilde{G}_k). \quad (3.4)$$

We now show that the special case of these kernels where σ is Lebesgue measure and p is independent of u (in which case it can be incorporated into the measure ρ) gives solutions to the cylindrical Toda equations

$$\frac{d^2 q_k}{dt^2} + t^{-1} \frac{dq_k}{dt} = e^{q_k - q_{k-1}} - e^{q_{k+1} - q_k}.$$

We consider only the scalar kernel $\tilde{G}_k$ although the case of G_k is no different. Again we ignore all parameters except $x = x_1$ and $y = y_1$, so (3.3) becomes

$$\tilde{G}_k(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \omega^k \frac{E(u, \omega/u)^2}{u - \omega v} d\rho(\omega)$$

with

$$E(u, \omega/u)^2 = e^{x(1-\omega^{-1})u + y(1-\omega)u^{-1}}.$$

If we make the variable change $u \rightarrow \sqrt{y/x} u$ then the new kernels, which have the same determinants, are

$$\int_{\Omega} \omega^k \frac{e^{\sqrt{xy}[(1-\omega^{-1})u + (1-\omega)u^{-1}]}}{u - \omega v} d\rho(\omega).$$

(This is where we use the fact that σ is Lebesgue measure and p is independent of u .) Thus the determinants in (3.4) are functions of xy , and if we set $t = 2\sqrt{xy}$ then the two-dimensional Toda equations (1.8) become the cylindrical Toda equations. Solutions are given by (1.10) and (3.4).

IV. The l -periodic Toda hierarchy

A doubly-infinite matrix $M(i, j)$ is called l -periodic if $M(i + l, j + l) = M(i, j)$ for all i, j . The solutions B_n, C_n of the TL hierarchy given by (1.4) are l -periodic if the matrix K_+ is. And the matrix K_+ given by (3.2) is l -periodic if Ω is contained in the set of l th roots of unity, as is easily seen by referring to (3.1) and (3.2). Of course since Ω is disjoint from $\mathbf{R}^+$ the root 1 must be omitted, so we may take Ω to be the set of l th roots of unity other than 1. Assuming $\rho(\{\omega\}) = 1$ for each of these roots the formula (3.1) for the kernel, now a matrix kernel, may be written

$$G_k(u, v) = \left(\frac{\omega^k}{u - \omega v} p_{\omega}(u) E(u, \omega/u) p_{\omega'}(v) E(v, \omega'/v) \right)_{\omega, \omega' \in \Omega} \quad (4.1)$$

and the hypothesis of Theorem 4 becomes

$$\int_0^{\infty} |p_{\omega}(u) u^i E(u, \omega/u)|^2 d\sigma(u) < \infty$$

for all ω and $i \leq 0$. The scalar kernel of $\tilde{G}_k$ becomes

$$\tilde{G}_k(u, v) = \sum_{\omega} \omega^k \frac{p_{\omega}(u)^2 E(u, \omega/u)^2}{u - \omega v}, \quad (4.2)$$

with additional assumptions coming from the statement of Theorem 4'.

The case where only one ω occurs is especially simple. If G is the operator with either kernel

$$G(u, v) = \frac{p(u) E(u, \omega/u) p(v) E(v, \omega/v)}{u - \omega v} \text{ or } \frac{p(u)^2 E(u, \omega/u)^2}{u - \omega v}$$

then G_k resp. $\tilde{G}_k$ equals $\omega^k G$ and (2.7) becomes

$$K_+(k, k) = \det(I - \omega^{k+1} G) / \det(I - \omega^k G). \quad (4.3)$$

Of course the assumptions are slightly different in the two cases. If σ consists of N masses p_i^2 at the points u_i then the Fredholm determinants become finite determinants,

$$\det(I - \omega^k G_k) = \det \left(\delta_{i,j} - \omega^k p_i p_j \frac{E(u_i, \omega/u_i) E(u_j, \omega/u_j)}{u_i - \omega u_j} \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}.$$

Remark 1. Observe that for $l = 2$ only $\omega = -1$ occurs and so each term in (4.3) is the ratio of the determinants $\det(I \pm G)$.

Remark 2. If in (4.1) or (4.2) $p_{\omega}(u)$ is independent of u then we are in the case considered at the end of Section III. Therefore if σ is Lebesgue measure and we set $t = 2\sqrt{x_1 y_1}$ we obtain periodic solutions of the cylindrical Toda equations through the formulas (1.10) and (2.7) or (3.4).

V. Appendix.

We prove here the lemmas needed for Theorems 4 and 4'. First we shall prove a sublemma, which gives a family of estimates for the trace norm of the operator from $L_2(\rho' \times \sigma)$ to $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ with kernel

$$\frac{q_1(\omega, u) q_2(\omega', v)}{u - \omega v}. \quad (5.1)$$

There will be an inequality for each positive function $\varphi(s)$ defined on $\mathbf{R}^+$. We denote the Laplace transform of $\varphi(s)$ by Φ and the Laplace transform of $\varphi(s)^{-1}$ by Ψ .

Sublemma. Let ρ be a finite measure supported on a compact set $\Omega \subset \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}^+$ and σ a measure supported on $\mathbf{R}^+$. (The measure ρ' is arbitrary.) Then there is a constant

m depending only on Ω such that the square of the trace norm of the operator with kernel (5.1) is at most m^{-2} times the square root of

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega |q_1(\omega, u)|^2 \Phi(mu) d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u) \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega |q_2(\omega', u)|^2 \Psi(mu) d\rho'(\omega') d\sigma(u).$$

Proof. Write $-\omega = r^2 e^{2i\theta}$. Then r is bounded and bounded away from 0 for $\omega \in \Omega$ and we may take $|\theta| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. With this notation we write

$$\frac{1}{u - \omega v} = \frac{r^{-1} e^{-i\theta}}{r^{-1} e^{-i\theta} u + r e^{i\theta} v},$$

which has the integral representation

$$\int_0^\infty r^{-1} e^{-i\theta} e^{-sr^{-1} e^{-i\theta} u} e^{-sre^{i\theta} v} ds.$$

It follows that the kernel of our operator has the integral representation

$$\int_0^\infty r^{-1} e^{-i\theta} q_1(\omega, u) e^{-sr^{-1} e^{-i\theta} u} q_2(\omega', v) e^{-sre^{i\theta} v} ds. \quad (5.2)$$

From this representation it follows that for any choice of $\varphi(s)$ we can factor the operator as the product AB where A is the integral operator from $L_2(\mathbf{R}^+)$ (with Lebesgue measure) to $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ with kernel

$$A(\omega, u; s) = r^{-1} e^{-i\theta} q_1(\omega, u) \varphi(s)^{1/2} e^{-sr^{-1} e^{-i\theta} u}$$

and B is the integral operator from $L_2(\rho' \times \sigma)$ to $L_2(\mathbf{R}^+)$ with kernel

$$B(s; \omega', u) = q_2(\omega', u) \varphi(s)^{-1/2} e^{-sre^{i\theta} u}.$$

Let $m > 0$ be any constant less than or equal to $r \cos \theta$ and to $r^{-1} \cos \theta$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$. (Notice that $\cos \theta \geq \sin \delta$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.) Then the square of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of A is at most m^{-2} times

$$\int \int \int_0^\infty |q_1(\omega, u)|^2 \varphi(s) e^{-smu} ds d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u) = \int \int |q_1(\omega, u)|^2 \Phi(mu) d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u).$$

Similarly the square of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of B is at most

$$\int \int |q_2(\omega', u)|^2 \Psi(mu) d\rho'(\omega') d\sigma(u),$$

which establishes the sublemma.

Lemma 1. The trace norm of the integral operator on $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ with kernel (5.1) is at most a constant depending only on Ω times the square root of

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega u^{-1} |q_1(\omega, u)|^2 d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u) \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_\Omega u^{-1} |q_2(\omega, u)|^2 d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u).$$

Proof. In the sublemma take $\rho' = \rho$ and $\varphi(s) \equiv 1$.

Lemma 2. For any $\delta \in (0, 2)$ the trace norm of the integral operator from $L_2(\sigma)$ to $L_2(\rho \times \sigma)$ with kernel $q(\omega, u)/(u - \omega v)$ is at most a constant depending only on Ω and δ times the square root of

$$\int_0^\infty \int_\Omega (u^{-2-\delta} + 1) |q(\omega, u)|^2 d\rho(\omega) d\sigma(u) \cdot \int_0^\infty \frac{d\sigma(u)}{u^{2-\delta} + 1}.$$

Proof. In the sublemma we take ρ' to be a unit point mass, so that $L_2(\rho' \times \sigma)$ may be identified in the obvious way with $L_2(\sigma)$. We take $\varphi(s) = s^{-1+\delta}$ for $s \leq 1$ and $\varphi(s) = s^{1+\delta}$ for $s \geq 1$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\Phi(s) = \begin{cases} O(t^{-2-\delta}) & \text{when } t \rightarrow 0 \\ O(1) & \text{when } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases} \quad \Psi(s) = \begin{cases} O(1) & \text{when } t \rightarrow 0 \\ O(t^{-2+\delta}) & \text{when } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Combining these estimates with the sublemma gives the statement of the lemma.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks Fritz Gesztesy, David Sattinger and Pierre Van Moerbeke for helpful electronic conversations. Special thanks go to Craig Tracy, whose ongoing collaboration with the author led to this work and whose advice during its preparation was invaluable. Research was supported by the National Science Foundation through grant DMS-9424292.

References

- [1] Adler, M., Van Moerbeke, P.: *String-orthogonal polynomials, string equations and two-Toda symmetries*. preprint.
- [2] Bernard, D., LeClair, A.: *Differential equations for sine-Gordon correlation functions at the free fermion point*. Nucl. Phys. **B426** [FS], 534–558 (1994)

- [3] Gohberg, I. C., Krein, M. G.: Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Math. Monog. **18**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1969
- [4] Kakei, S.: *Toda lattice hierarchy and Zamolodchikov's conjecture*. solv-int/9510006
- [5] Nijhoff, F. W.: *Linear integral transformations and hierarchies of integrable nonlinear evolution equations*. Physica **31D**, 339–388 (1988)
- [6] Pöppe, Ch.: *Construction of solutions of the sine-Gordon equation by means of Fredholm determinants*. Physica **9D**, 103–139 (1983)
- [7] Pöppe, Ch.: *Fredholm determinant methods for continuous and discrete soliton equations*. In: M. Ablowitz, B. Fuchssteiner, M. Kruskal (eds.) Topics in soliton theory and exactly solvable nonlinear equations. World Scientific Publ., Singapore, 1987, pp. 277–283
- [8] Pöppe, Ch., Sattinger, D. H.: *Fredholm determinants and the τ function for the Kadomtsev-Petviashvili hierarchy*. Publ. Research Inst. Kyoto Univ. **24** 505–538 (1988)
- [9] Toda, M.: *Theory of nonlinear lattices*. 2nd ed. Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences **20**. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [10] Tracy, C. A., Widom, H.: *Fredholm determinants and the mKdV/sinh-Gordon hierarchies*. Commun. Math. Phys. **179**, 1–10 (1996)
- [11] Ueno, K., Takasaki, K.: *Toda lattice hierarchy*. In: *Group representations and systems of differential equations*. In: K. Okamoto (ed.) Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **4**, Kinokuniya and North-Holland, Tokyo, 1984, pp. 1–95
- [12] Zakharov, V. E., Shabat, A. B.: *A scheme for integrating the nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of the inverse scattering problem*. Funct. Anal. Applic. **8** 226–235 (1974)